

### USFS – Modoc National Forest

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by Applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the Applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding. Additionally, Applicants may not charge a use fee for vehicles and/or Equipment purchased with OHV Trust Funds, except for fuel and minor maintenance cost.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended on project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

#### General Evaluation Criteria

- #1b(v) – Applicant is advised the ratio score contained in #1b(v) will be auto-populated and made official by the Division at final application submission.
- #2 – #5, Applicant must verify response by final submission.
- #11c – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant must identify how it provides information on safe and responsible use to the public.
- #12a&b – Applicant must verify response by final submission.
- #13 – Applicant must verify response by final submission.

Ground Operations – Ground Operations – Lassen Creek

G16-02-45-G01

Project Description

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#2 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must clarify how there will be “Additional damage to Facilities”.</li> <li>#4 - Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must explain where the meeting(s) were held, how the participants are stakeholders to the project, and clarify if any of the meetings were to discuss this Ground Operations Project.</li> <li>#7 – Project Description does not support the selections are made with recycled materials.</li> <li>#8 – Project Description only supports the “Camping and “Hunting” selections.</li> </ul>	
Ground Operations – Modoc OHV Route Signing	G16-02-45-G02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Staff – “Roads Engineer,” “Forest Engineer”, and “Engineering Technician” duties appear duplicative and have indirect elements. Applicant must provide additional details that explain the need for each and for how they are directly related to the Project.</li> <li>Equipment Use – “4x4 Pickup Truck”, mileage appears excessive. Applicant must provide more information for how the quantity and value were determined.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#2 – Narrative does not support the responses. Applicant must clarify how there will be a “Loss of OHV Opportunity” and “Additional damage to facilities...”</li> <li>#3 – Project Description does not support “Maintaining multi use...” or “Providing varied levels of riding difficulty...”</li> <li>6# - Project Description only supports the “Controlling OHV use...” selection.</li> <li>#7 – Project Description does not support the selection is made with recycled materials.</li> </ul>	
Planning – Modoc NF Travel Management Supplement	G16-02-45-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No Comment.</li> </ul>	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – Applicant must identify the duties they will perform towards the completion of the project for each staff line item.</li> <li>• Materials and Supplies – Misc. Supplies to support Program – Cost for two tablets appears excessive in comparison to other projects. Applicant must clarify how the cost of per tablet was determined.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expense – Field Vehicle (all three line items) – Mileage quantity (total miles) appears excessive based on the information provided in the Project Description. Applicant must clarify how the mileage quantity for each vehicle was determined.</li> </ul>
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2a, b – Narrative does not support any of the the selections for the project. Applicant must provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was selected.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must explain how the participants of the stakeholder meeting are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #5 – Applicant must further clarify how identified stakeholders are going to provide substantial input on the project.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant must provide more detail of who the specific group or organization that will be active in the project. Concerned citizens is not a specific group or organization and are not eligible as a partner.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the first and third selections. Applicant must revise selections: Completing a NEPA document is not considered a management plan. Applicant must tell what population center(s) are within 60 miles of the OHV opportunity.</li> <li>• #8 – Applicant must revise selection. USFS – Modoc National Forest currently has OHV riding opportunities.</li> </ul>

Restoration – Lassen Watershed Restoration	G16-02-45-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E- Applicant must further define what specialist reports are being referenced.</li> </ul>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Materials/Supplies- Gravelometer- Applicant must define how this is related to the Project Deliverables.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies-Secchi Tube- Applicant must define how this is related to the Project Deliverables.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies- Rock Material- Applicant must define how this is related to the Project Deliverables, and whether or not the roads are being closed. Item</li> </ul>	

<p>seems to be ground operations related</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Equipment Use Expense #2- - Applicant must provide information on how cost was determined.</li> </ul>
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#2- Narrative does not support Archeological and Historical resources, other special status species and sensitive areas selection. Applicant must further define.</li> <li>#2- Applicant must revise sensitive areas and special status species selection to reflect the number of items not the names.</li> <li>#7- Applicant must explain how all tribes are stakeholders in this Project and the location of the stakeholders meeting.</li> <li>#11- Project Description does not support selection of more than 10 acres of sensitive habitat being restored.</li> </ul>

Restoration – Modoc Cultural Study – Lassen Watershed & Beyond	G16-02-45-R02
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A- Applicant must further define how this Project will be continued if Lassen watershed Restoration Project is not funded.</li> <li>C- Applicant must further define the use of OHV in the listed areas for Project work.</li> <li>E- Project is for a cultural study. Applicant must list reports that will be completed with study.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Staff Line Item #3 and Contract Line Item #1-Line items seem duplicative. Applicant must provide brief description on how these two line items differ.</li> <li>Contract- Contract Archivist- Applicant must identify the source of match.</li> <li>Materials/Supplies- Local Server- item is indirect and must be moved to the Indirect Costs category.</li> <li>Materials/Supplies- Software License- item is indirect and must be moved to the Indirect Costs category.</li> <li>Equipment Use Expense- Applicant must provide brief description on how mileage was determined.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#2- Narrative does not support selection. Applicant must further explain how study will result in adverse impacts to selections.</li> <li>#4- Narrative does not support selection. Applicant must further explain how study will complete selections.</li> <li>#8- Applicant must list each partner separately and provided detailed explanation for each.</li> <li>#12- Project Description does not support selection as this is a cultural study.</li> </ul>	

--